

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,416	04/21/2004	Nobuhiro Nakamura	252144US-2 CONT	4529
22850	7590 12/12/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GUHARAY, KARABI	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2879	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/828,416	NAKAMURA, NOBUHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karabi Guharay	2879	/ m			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Ameropate</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-12 and 14-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-12 and 14-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	7.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-			` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National \$	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/05, 2/05, 4/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	-152)			

Art Unit: 2879

Amendment, filed on 9/26/05 has been considered and entered.

Claims 1-8 & 10-12 & 14-22 are pending.

Claim 1 is amended and claims 14-22 are added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 11-12, 14-18 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirahata et al. (JP 2001-351778).

Regarding claims 1-2, Shirahata discloses an organic El display element comprising a first conductive layer (4), a second conductive layer (2) opposed to the first conductive layer, a driving circuit connecting terminal connected electrically with the first electrode (4) via supplementary wire (5), and an organic EL layer (3) disposed between first and second conductive layer, wherein the supplementary layer at least has one surface (8) layer containing Mo (see Abstract & paragraph 3 & 8), since organic EL device comprising several pixels (paragraph 4), thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have at least 30 supplementary wires.

Further Shirahata discloses a passive matrix EL display and discloses that the resistance of the extraction electrode made of Mo is less, thus configured to carry at least 50 mA of current.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 3, Shiraha discloses that the second electrode (2) is a transparent electrode, but fails to disclose ITO as the material for transparent electrode. However, ITO is a well known preferred material for transparent electrode, used in organic EL display.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ITO, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. See MPEP 2144.07.

Regarding claim 4, Shirahata discloses that the supplementary wire has a layer made of Ag (paragraph 8).

Regarding claim 5, Shirahata discloses that the first conductive layer is connected to an etched surface of the layer containing Mo (paragraph 12).

Regarding claims 11, Shirahata discloses an organic El display element comprising a first conductive layer (4), a second conductive layer (2) opposed to the first conductive layer, a driving circuit connecting terminal connected electrically with the first electrode (4) via supplementary wire (5), and an organic EL layer (3) disposed between first and second conductive layer, wherein the supplementary wire comprises at least 3 layers, barrier layer 8 made of Mo, Al layer, and an adhesion promotion layer 9 (see Fig 5), since organic EL device comprising several pixels (paragraph 4), thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have at least 30 supplementary wires.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 12 & 22, though Shirahata does not explicitly disclose driving circuits, they are inherently present in order to drive the organic EL display.

Claims 14, 15, 16, 17 recite essentially the same limitations of claims 2, 3, 4 & 5 respectively, thus claims 14, 15, 16 & 17 are rejected as claims 2, 3, 4 & 5.

Regarding claims 6 & 18, Shirahata discloses that the a portion of the first conductive layer connected to the layer containing Mo is defined by the insulating layer 6 (see paragraph 8).

Claims 7,8, 19 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirahata as applied to claim 1, in view of Codama et al. (U.S. 6,1 14,805).

Regarding claims 7 & 19, Shirahata teaches all of the limitations of claim 7, but fails to teach wherein the Mo alloy contains Nb.

Codama et al. in the analogous ad teaches wherein the Mo alloy contains Nb (col. 8 lines 39-47; col. 8 line 30). Additionally, Codama et al. teaches incorporation of such a Mo alloy contains Nb to improve the thin film resistance of interconnection electrode (col. 8 lines 30-50) and provide a working interconnection electrode. Note choose an Mo alloy with Nb where is 10% at%.

Consequently it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use wherein the Mo alloy contains Nb in the auxiliary electrode of Hosokawa, since such a modification would improve the thin film resistance of interconnection electrode and provide a working interconnection electrode as taught by Codama et al.

Regarding claims 8 & 20, Codama discloses wherein the content of Nb in the Mo alloy is 5 to 20 atomic %. This claim is rejected for the same reasons found in claim 7.

Regarding claim 21, Shirahata fails to disclose that the first conductive material contains AL, however, Aluminum is a preferred material for forming metal electrodes for the display, thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use aluminum as the material for the first electrode in the device of Shirahata, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. See MPEP 2144.07.

Claims 1-3, 6 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagayama (JP 2000-243558).

Regarding claim 1-3 & 10, Nagayama teaches an organic EL (Fig 1-3 & 5) display element comprising a first conductive layer 9, made of aluminum, a second conductive layer (5), made of transparent ITO, opposed to the first conductive layer 9, a driving circuit connecting terminal connected electrically with the first electrode (9) via supplementary wire (11), and an organic EL layer (7) disposed between first and second conductive layer, wherein the supplementary layer at least has one surface layer containing Mo (see Abstract & paragraph 8), since organic EL device comprising several pixels (see Fig 1), thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have at least 30 supplementary wires (11) for forming a large display.

Further, Nagayama discloses a passive matrix EL display and discloses that the electrode leading part 11 is made of high melting point metal (paragraph 9), thus can be configured to carry at least 50 mA of current.

Regarding claim 6, Nagayama discloses that a portion o the first conductive layer (9) connected to the layer containing Mo is defined by an insulating film (see paragraph 13).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is (571) 272-2452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R gwharay Karabi Guharay Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879